

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/764,385	EMBREE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Theresa T. Snider.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gregg Jansen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Jansen to inform him that the declaration of 1/23/2004 is defective because it does not list a specific error of which the reissue is based upon. Mr. Jansen agreed to fax in a new declaration to correct the problem. Examiner inquired as to whether the court in the litigation case rendered a decision regarding the patentability of the original claims. Mr. Jansen confirmed that no decision was issued on the patentability of the original claims .